(PRF 1619-A1 and 1700-G1), administered by the American Chemical Society, for support of this research.

William S. Johnson, Lucius Werthemann, William R. Bartlett Timothy J. Brocksom, Tsung-tee Li Department of Chemistry, Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

> D. John Faulkner, Michael R. Petersen Scripps Institution of Oceanography La Jolla, California 92037 Received December 11, 1969

_

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy. Carbon-13 Spectra of the Silver(I) Complexes of Cyclopentene and Cyclohexene¹

Sir:

In recent years, proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy has been utilized to investigate the nature of the species arising when alkenes are dissolved in solutions containing either silver(I)² or mercury(II)³ ions. We report here on the use of high-resolution nmr spectra of ¹³C (cmr) in natural abundance⁴ in examining such complexes.

The cmr spectra of cyclopentene and cyclohexene in aqueous silver nitrate solution⁵ reveal that the unsaturated carbon resonances in both compounds are shifted to higher fields by 4.4 ppm, relative to those of the free cycloalkenes (see Table I). The remaining carbon resonances are shifted downfield slightly. These shifts seem best rationalized on the basis of a small increase in the σ character of the bonds at the unsaturated carbons,⁶ although steric interactions²^c with the silver ion cannot be definitely ruled out. As with the proton chemical shifts of silver-alkene complexes,²^b there is no significant concentration effect on the ¹³C chemical shifts.

The downfield shift of vinyl protons on formation of a silver(I) complex is usually explained as resulting from proton deshielding arising from π -electron donation from the alkene to the silver(I) ion.² Dewar⁷ has proposed that the complexes are formed as the result of σ overlap between the bonding alkene π orbital with a vacant s or sp hybrid orbital on the silver(I) ion and π overlap of an occupied d orbital on the ion with an antibonding alkene π orbital. The net effect appears to be that the π -electron density decrease at carbon is not balanced by the d-electron contribution, thus making

(1) Supported by the National Science Foundation.

(2) (a) D. B. Powell and N. Sheppard, J. Chem. Soc., 2519 (1960);
(b) J. Schug and R. J. Martin, J. Phys. Chem., 66, 1554 (1962);
(c) H. W. Quinn, J. S. McIntyre, and D. J. Peterson, Can. J. Chem., 43, 2896 (1965).

(3) (a) W. Kitching, A. J. Smith, and P. R. Wells, Aust. J. Chem., 21, 2395 (1968); (b) Y. Saito and M. Matsuo, Chem. Commun., 961 (1967);
(c) V. Sokolov, U. Yustynyuk, and O. Reutov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 173, 1103 (1967).

(4) (a) F. J. Weigert and J. D. Roberts, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 89, 2967 (1967); *ibid.*, 90, 3543 (1968); (b) F. J. Weigert, M. Jautelat, and J. D. Roberts, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S., 60, 1152 (1968); (c) J. D. Roberts, F. J. Weigert, J. I. Kroschwitz, and H. J. Reich, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., in press.

(5) The samples were prepared by saturating either a 2 M or saturated silver nitrate solution with cycloalkene. Cyclopentene and cyclohexene were chosen for study because of their high solubility in silver nitrate solutions (H. J. Taufen, M. J. Murray, and F. F. Cleveland, *ibid.*, 63, 3500 (1941)).

(6) It is well known that there is a large chemical shift difference between sp²- and sp³-hybridized carbons, the sp³ carbons being upfield by about 100 ppm (J. B. Stothers, *Quart. Rev.* (London), 19, 144 (1965)).

(7) M. J. S. Dewar, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 18, C79 (1951).

	$\delta (CS_2)^a$	$\delta (CS_2)^a$	Δδ
		Cyclopentene-AgNO ₃	
Cyclopentene		Complex	
C1, C2	62.2	66.6	+4.4
C3, C5	160.2	158.3	-1.9
Č4	169.7	168.3	-1.4
		CyclohexeneAgNO₃	
Cyclohexene		Complex	
C1, C2	65.6	70.0	+4.4
C3, C6	167.3	165.8	-1.5
C4, C5	169.7	169.4	-0.3

^a In parts per million relative to carbon disulfide.

 σ bonding more important than π bonding.^{2c} Thus, an increase in the σ character of the bonds at the alkene carbons is expected and the ¹³C results tend to bear this out. An increase in the σ character of the bonds to the unsaturated carbons would be expected to decrease the couplings between alkenic carbons and the directly attached hydrogens, but actually there is very little change upon complexation. The lack of appreciable change in the ¹³C-H coupling constants suggests an alternative explanation of the chemical-shift changes, namely that the π -orbital energy of the alkene is reduced by coordination with silver ion, so as to produce small changes in the excitation energy.

With regard to interaction of mercury(II) ion with alkenes, evidence has been presented^{3b,c} and challenged^{3a} for direct observation of proton resonances of mercurinium ions. We have found that the cmr spectra of methanol solutions containing equimolar amounts of cyclopentene or cyclohexene and mercury(II) acetate gave no resonances corresponding to alkenic carbons and, indeed, were best explained as arising from 1-acetoxymercuri-2-methoxycyclopentane or 1-acetoxymercuri-2-methoxycyclohexane.

Richard G. Parker, John D. Roberts Contribution No. 3921, Gates and Crellin Laboratories of Chemistry California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91109 Received September 22, 1969

Isoporphyrins

Sir:

The discovery of phlorins and the recognition of their stability prompted Woodward¹ to suggest that tautomeric structures of porphyrin with a saturated bridging carbon atom [isoporphyrin (1)] might exist. We report here the synthesis of a metalloisoporphyrin.

Controlled-potential oxidation of zinc meso-tetraphenylporphyrin (ZnTPP), at 1.1 V vs. sce, in dichloromethane-tetrapropylammonium perchlorate brings about two successive reversible one-electron oxidations. The first step generates a π cation radical² which is stable in nucleophilic solvents³ and can be isolated in

(1) R. B. Woodward, Ind. Chim. Belge., 27, 1293 (1962).

(2) R. H. Felton, D. Dolphin, D. C. Borg, and J. Fajer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 196 (1969).

(3) Stable cations of metallooctaethylporphyrins have been reported by J.-H. Fuhrhop and D. Mauzerall, *ibid.*, 91, 4147 (1969).